



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,147	01/11/2002	Hisao Chikano	217692US3	5813
22850	7590	11/12/2003	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PHAM, HAI CHI	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2861	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/042,147	CHIKANO, HISAO
	Examiner Hai C Pham	Art Unit 2861

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-13 and 15-18 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-13 and 15-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9, 11.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

FINAL REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-13, 15, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lino et al. (U.S. 5,185,629).

With regard to claims 1 and 10, lino et al. discloses an image forming apparatus comprising a writing device (Fig. 1) configured to write and form an image on a surface of an image bearing member (photoreceptor 11), a developer (14), an upper duct provided on and a lower duct provided beneath a housing of the writing device (lower section of the housing 10 enclosing the writing device formed around the photoreceptor 11) to form an outside-air flowing path (the upper duct being provided on the upper section of the housing 10 while the lower duct being either the cooling duct 24 (Fig. 5) or the ozone removing duct 31 (Fig. 2A)). lino et al. also teaches a single air intake fan (22) (mistakenly labeled as 223 in each of the Figures 1 and 4) configured to take outside-air into the upper duct and the lower duct (col. 4, lines 15-24).

- With regard to claims 2-4, 6, 8-9, 11-13, 15, 17-18, lino et al. further teaches:
 - the respective upper and lower ducts having openings covered by respective upper and lower sections of the housing;

- the open upper side of the housing being covered by a scanner frame of an original document reading device (upper portion of the housing 10 containing the exposure lamp for reading an original document through a platen glass 100), and wherein the upper duct is provided in the scanner frame (upper portion of the housing 10 in Figs.1 and 5);
- an opening (25b, Figs. 1 and 4) being provided to the upper duct and being covered by the scanner frame (frame formed by the upper portion of the housing 10);
- a fan (circulating fan 32) being provided to an air discharge outlet of at least one of the upper and the lower duct (the circulating fan 32 being disposed rearwardly of each duct for drawing harmful substances afloat in the housing toward the discharge opening 34) (col. 3, lines 11-29);
- outside-air that flows through at least one of the upper duct and the lower duct being used to cool components other than the writing device (the lower duct or cooling ducts 24 to cool the fixing rollers 17);
- the lower duct (31, Fig. 2) being used to remove ozone produced in the image forming apparatus (col. 3, lines 11-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lino et al. in view of Kubota (U.S. 5,510,827).

lino et al. discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the scanning optical components of the writing device being formed of a plastic.

However, it is well known in the printing art that the scanning lenses are generally made of a plastic material that allows easy formation of a special lens surface shape, is easy to manufacture, and is manufactured at low costs. Kubota et al, for example, discloses a laser beam printer including plastic imaging lens (29) as well as a cooling system arranged in the optical box.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the plastic scanning optical component as taught by Kubota et al. in the device of lino et al. The motivation for the combination would have been to provide a low cost scanning lens with a desired surface shape.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. Applicant argues that "lino et al. does not describe a single air intake fan", and further points out that "lino et al. illustrates two distinct fans, fan 32 and fan 22 (mislabeled in FIG. 4 as 223), that are used to separately move air in upper and lower air ducts". The examiner respectfully disagrees. lino et al. discloses a fan (22, mislabeled as 223) disposed in the upper portion of the housing (10) of the image

forming apparatus as the only one fan being configured to take outside-air into the upper duct and the lower duct, while the second fan (32) is the circulating fan being disposed rearwardly of the duct to draw harmful substances (including ozone) afloat in the housing toward the discharge opening (34) (col. 3, lines 11-29). Clearly, the circulating fan (32) is not configured as an "air intake fan" to "take outside-air into the duct", but it is used in discharging the harmful air inside the housing to the outside of the housing, and thus properly earns its label as a circulating fan.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 7, 2003